



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/970,969	10/03/2001	Jonathan F. Tait	UOFW117625	3199
26389 7	0 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 2800			HUFF, SHEELA JITENDRA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, W	A 98101-2347	1642		
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/970,969	TAIT ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheela J Huff	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mailing date.	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension or priginally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belov	⊠ will be entered and an vor appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>22-27</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>29-31 and 33-35</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21, 28, 32</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
		Sheela J Huff Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1642				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the Kasina reference teaches chelating compounds that contain a sulfhydral or where the chelating agent is esterase sensitive. As stated in the previous action, the claimed compounds can include a sulfhydral because of use of the language "having". The claims do not preclude a chelating agent that is esterase sensitive. Additionally, applicant merely focuses on the Kasina reference and does not focus on the combination of the primary and secondary references or the motivation for combination (applicant is directed the original rejection)..